

Pairwise g^{**} -comapct modulo I , countably compact modulo I , g^{**} -Lindeloff modulo I Spaces

Pauline Mary Helen.M
Research Scholar,
Manonmaniam Sundaranar University,
Tirunelveli
cum Associate Professor in Mathematics,
Nirmala College, Red Fields,
Coimbatore

Punitha Tharani
Associate Professor in Mathematics,
St.Mary's College,
Tuticorin.

Abstract: In this paper, pairwise g^{**} -comapct modulo I space, pairwise g^{**} -countably comapct modulo I space, pairwise g^{**} -Lindeloff modulo I space are introduced and the relationship between these concepts are studied.

Keywords: Pairwise g^{**} -comapct modulo I space, pairwise g^{**} -countably comapct modulo I space, pairwise g^{**} -Lindeloff modulo I space.

1. INTRODUCTION

Levine [3] introduced the class of g -closed sets in 1970 and M.K.R.S. Veerakumar[11] introduced g^* -closed sets in 1991. Ideal topological spaces have been first introduced by K. Kuratowski [4] in 1930. We have introduced and studied g^{**} -closed sets [5], g^{**} -compact modulo I spaces and g^{**} -countably compact modulo I spaces[6], g^{**} -Lindeloff spaces[8] and g^{**} -Lindeloff modulo I spaces[9]. In this paper pairwise g^{**} -comapct spaces, pairwise g^{**} -countably compact spaces, pairwise g^{**} -Lindeloff spaces, pairwise g^{**} -comapct modulo I spaces, pairwise g^{**} -countably compact modulo I spaces, pairwise g^{**} -Lindeloff modulo I spaces are defined and their properties are investigated.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1: A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is called

- 1) *generalized closed* (briefly *g -closed*)[3] if $\text{cl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is open in (X, τ) .

- 2) *generalized star closed* (briefly *g^* -closed*)[11] if $\text{cl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is g - open in (X, τ) .
- 3) *generalized star star closed* (briefly *g^{**} -closed*)[5] if $\text{cl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is g^* - open in (X, τ) .

The class of g^{**} -open sets of (X, τ) is denoted by $G^{**}O(X, \tau)$

Definition 2.2:[4] An ideal I on a non empty set X is a collection of subsets of X which satisfies the following properties.(i) $A \in I, B \in I \Rightarrow A \cup B \in I$
(ii) $A \in I, B \subset A \Rightarrow B \in I$ A

topological space (X, τ) with an ideal I on X is called an ideal topological space and is denoted by (X, τ, I) .

Definition 2.3:[1] If X is a set and τ_1 and τ_2 are two topologies on X then the triple (X, τ_1, τ_2) is defined to be a bitopological space.

Definition 2.5:[2] A cover U of the topological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is said to be pairwise open if $U \subseteq \tau_1 \cup \tau_2$ and U contains a non empty member of τ_1 and a non empty member of τ_2 .

Definition 2.6:[2] If each pairwise open cover has a finite sub cover then the space is said to be pairwise compact.

Definition 2.7:[10] If each pairwise open cover has a countable sub cover then the space is said to be pairwise lindeloff.

Definition 2.9:[7] A topological space (X, τ) is said to be g^{**} -multiplicative if arbitrary intersection of g^{**} -closed sets is g^{**} -closed. Equivalently arbitrary union of g^{**} -open sets is g^{**} -open.

Definition 2.11:[8] An ideal topological space (X, τ, I) is said to be g^{**} -compact modulo I if for every g^{**} -open covering $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ of X , there exists a finite subset Δ_0 of Δ such that $X - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} U_\alpha \in I$.

Definition 2.12:[8] An ideal topological space (X, τ, I) is said to be g^{**} -countably compact modulo I if for every countable g^{**} -open covering $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ of X , there exists a finite subset Δ_0 of Δ such that $X - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} U_\alpha \in I$.

Definition 2.13: [9] An ideal topological space (X, τ) is said to be g^{**} -Lindelof modulo I if for every g^{**} -open cover $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Omega}$, there exists a countable subset Ω_0 such that $X - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Omega_0} U_\alpha \in I$.

Definition 2.14:[2] An ideal topological space (X, τ, I) is said to be compact modulo I if for every open covering $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ of X , there exists a finite sub collection $\{U_{\alpha_i} / i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $X - \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i} \in I$.

Definition 2.15:[10] Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space and I be an ideal in X . Then (X, τ_1, τ_2, I) is said to be pairwise Lindeloff modulo I if every pairwise open cover of X admits a countable sub cover.

Definition 2.16:[9] Let I be an ideal on X and $A \subseteq \wp(X)$. Then A is said to have finite intersection property modulo I (denoted as

$I - FIP$) if for every finite sub family $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of A , $\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i \notin I$.

Definition 2.17:[9] Let I be an ideal on X and $A \subseteq \wp(X)$. Then A is said to have countable intersection property modulo I (denoted as $I - CIP$) if for every countable sub family $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of A , $\bigcap_{i=1}^\infty A_i \notin I$.

3. Pairwise g^{} -compact modulo I , pairwise g^{**} -countably compact modulo I , pairwise g^{**} -Lindeloff modulo I**

Definition 3.1: An ideal bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2, I) is called pairwise g^{**} -Lindeloff modulo I if every pairwise g^{**} -open cover $\mathfrak{S} = \{U_\alpha / \alpha \in \Omega\}$ of X has a countable sub collection $\{U_{\alpha_i} / i = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ of \mathfrak{S} such that $X - \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty U_{\alpha_i} \in I$.

Definition 3.2: An ideal bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2, I) is called pairwise g^{**} -compact modulo I if every pairwise g^{**} -open cover $\mathfrak{S} = \{U_\alpha / \alpha \in \Omega\}$ of X has a finite sub collection $\{U_{\alpha_i} / i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ of \mathfrak{S} such that $X - \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i} \in I$.

Definition 3.3: A bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is called pairwise g^{**} -countably compact if every countable pairwise g^{**} -open cover $\mathfrak{S} = \{U_\alpha / \alpha \in \Omega\}$ of X has a finite sub collection $U_{\alpha_i}, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ of \mathfrak{S} such that $X - \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i} \in I$.

Theorem 3.4: Every pairwise g^{**} -compact modulo space I is pairwise g^{**} -countably compact modulo I .

Proof is obvious.

Theorem 3.5: Every pairwise g^{**} -compact modulo I space is pairwise g^{**} -Lindeloff
Proof is obvious.

Theorem 3.6: Every pairwise g^{**} -Lindeloff modulo I space is pairwise Lindeloff modulo I .

Proof is obvious.

Theorem 3.7: Every pairwise g^{**} -compact modulo I space is pairwise compact modulo I .

Proof is obvious.

Theorem 3.8: Every pairwise g^{**} -countably compact modulo I space is pairwise countably compact modulo I .

Proof is obvious.

Theorem 3.9: Every pairwise g^{**} -Lindeloff modulo I , pairwise g^{**} -countably compact modulo I space is pairwise g^{**} -compact modulo I .

Proof is obvious.

Definition 3.10: Let V be a subset of the bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) . Define $\tau_i(V) = \{\emptyset, X, U \cup V / U \in \tau_i\}$.

Theorem 3.11: Let (X, τ_1, τ_2, I) be a bitopological ideal space. (X, τ_1, I) and (X, τ_2, I) be g^{**} -multiplicative. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pairwise g^{**} -compact modulo I .
2. For each non empty $G \in G^{**}O(X, \tau_1, I)$ and $H \in G^{**}O(X, \tau_2, I)$, $(X, \tau_1(H, I))$ and $(X, \tau_2(G, I))$ are g^{**} -compact modulo I .

modulo I .

3. Each g^{**} -closed proper subset of (X, τ_1, I) is g^{**} -compact modulo I in (X, τ_2, I) and each g^{**} -closed proper subset of X is g^{**} -compact modulo I in (X, τ_1, I) .

Proof: $1 \Rightarrow 2$: Let $G \in G^{**}O(X, \tau_1, I)$ and let A be a open cover for $(X, \tau_2(G), I)$.

Then $A = \{G \cup U_\alpha / U_\alpha \in G^{**}O(X, \tau_2, I)\}$. Then $G \cup \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Omega}$ is a pairwise g^{**} -open cover for X . Then there exists a finite sub cover $G \cup \{\bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i}\}$ such that

$X - \{G \cup \{\bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i}\}\} \in I$. Therefore

$(X, \tau_2(G), I)$ is compact modulo I . Similarly $(X, \tau_1(H), I)$ is compact modulo I .

$2 \Rightarrow 3$: Let K be a proper g^{**} -closed set in (X, τ_1, I) then $G = X - K$ is g^{**} -open in (X, τ_1, I) . Let $\{V_\alpha\}$ be a g^{**} -open cover for (X, τ_2, I) then $\{G \cup \{V_\alpha\}\}$ is a open cover for $(X, \tau_2(G), I)$. Then there exists $V_{\alpha_1}, V_{\alpha_2}, \dots, V_{\alpha_n}$ such that

$X - \{G \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_{\alpha_i}\} \in I \Rightarrow (X - G) - \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_{\alpha_i} \in I..$

That is $K - \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_{\alpha_i} \in I$ Therefore K is g^{**} -compact modulo I in (X, τ_2, I) .

$3 \Rightarrow 1$: Let A be a pairwise g^{**} -open cover for X . Let τ_1 - g^{**} -open set in A be $\{U_\beta / \beta \in \Omega_1\}$ and τ_2 - g^{**} -open set in A be $\{V_\alpha / \alpha \in \Omega_2\}$.

Case 1: Let $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Omega_2} V_\alpha = X$. Choose $\beta_0 \in \Omega_1$ such that $U_{\beta_0} \neq \emptyset$. Then

$\{V_\alpha / \alpha \in \Omega_2\}$ is a τ_2 - g^{**} -open cover for τ_1 - g^{**} -closed set $X - U_{\beta_0}$. By (3), there exists

$\therefore X - \{\bigcup_{i=1}^n V_{\alpha_i} \cup U_{\beta_0}\} \in I$. Hence

(X, τ_1, τ_2, I) is pairwise g^{**} -compact modulo I .

Case 2: Let $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Omega_2} V_\alpha \neq X$. Therefore

$K = X - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Omega_2} V_\alpha$ is a τ_2 - g^{**} -closed set (since

(X, τ_2, I) is g^{**} -multiplicative) in X and

$K \subseteq X - \bigcup_{\beta \in \Omega_1} U_\beta$. By (3), there exists

$U_{\beta_1}, U_{\beta_2}, \dots, U_{\beta_n}$ such that

$K - \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\beta_i} \in I$. Suppose $X - \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\beta_i} \in I$ then

(X, τ_1, τ_2, I) is pairwise g^{**} -compact

modulo I . Suppose $X - \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\beta_i} \notin I$ then

$X - \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\beta_i} \neq \emptyset$ and hence $X - \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\beta_i}$ is a

proper τ_1 - g^{**} -closed set of X contained in

$\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Omega_2} V_\alpha$. By (3), there exists

$V_{\alpha_1}, V_{\alpha_2}, \dots, V_{\alpha_k}$ such that

$(X - \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\beta_i}) - (\bigcup_{j=1}^k V_{\alpha_j}) \in I$ Then

$X - \{(\bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\beta_i}) \cup (\bigcup_{j=1}^k V_{\alpha_j})\} \in I$ therefore

(X, τ_1, τ_2, I) is pairwise g^{**} -compact modulo I .

Theorem 3.12: Let (X, τ_1, τ_2, I) be a bitopological ideal space.

(X, τ_1, I) and (X, τ_2, I) be g^{**} -multiplicative.

Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. (X, τ_1, τ_2, I) is pairwise g^{**} -Lindeloff modulo I .

$V_{\alpha_1}, V_{\alpha_2}, \dots, V_{\alpha_n}$ such that

$$(X - U_{\beta_0}) - \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_{\alpha_i} \in I.$$

2. For each non empty $G \in G^{**}O(X, \tau_1, I)$ and

$$H \in G^{**}O(X, \tau_2, I),$$

$(X, \tau_1(H), I)$ and $(X, \tau_2(G), I)$ are Lindeloff modulo I .

3. Each g^{**} -closed proper subset of (X, τ_1, I) is g^{**} -Lindeloff modulo I in (X, τ_2, I) and each g^{**} -closed proper subset of is g^{**} -Lindeloff modulo I in (X, τ_1) .

Proof: Similar to the proof of theorem (4.11).

Theorem 3.13: Let (X, τ_1, τ_2, I) be a bitopological ideal space.

(X, τ_1, I) and (X, τ_2, I) be finitely g^{**} -

additive and g^{**} -multiplicative. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. (X, τ_1, τ_2, I) is pairwise g^{**} -countably compact modulo I .

2. For each non empty $G \in G^{**}O(X, \tau_1, I)$ and

$$H \in G^{**}O(X, \tau_2, I),$$

$(X, \tau_1(H), I)$ and $(X, \tau_2(G), I)$ are countably compact modulo I .

3. Each g^{**} -closed proper subset of (X, τ_1, I) is g^{**} -countably compact modulo I in (X, τ_2, I) and each g^{**} -closed proper subset of (X, τ_2, I) is g^{**} -countably compact modulo I in (X, τ_1, I) .

Proof: Similar to the proof of theorem (4.11).

Theorem 3.14: Let (X, τ_1, τ_2, I) be a bitopological ideal space, then the following statements are equivalent:

1. (X, τ_1, τ_2, I) is pairwise g^{**} -compact modulo I .
2. For every family $\{F_\alpha / \alpha \in \Omega\}$ of pairwise g^{**} -closed sets such that
3. For every family $\{F_\alpha / \alpha \in \Omega\}$ of pairwise g^{**} -closed sets with I -FIP, $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Omega} F_\alpha \neq \emptyset$.

Proof: $1 \Rightarrow 2$: Let $\{F_\alpha / \alpha \in \Omega\}$ be a family of pairwise g^{**} -closed sets such that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Omega} F_\alpha = \emptyset$. Then the collection $\{X - F_\alpha / \alpha \in \Omega\}$ is a pairwise g^{**} -open cover of X . by (1), there exists a finite sub collection $\{X - F_{\alpha_i} / i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $X - \bigcup_{i=1}^n (X - F_{\alpha_i}) \in I$. Therefore $\bigcap_{i=1}^n F_{\alpha_i} \in I$.

$2 \Rightarrow 3$: Let $\{F_\alpha / \alpha \in \Omega\}$ be a family of pairwise g^{**} -closed sets with I -FIP. If $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Omega} F_\alpha = \emptyset$. By (2), there exists a finite sub family $\{F_{\alpha_i} / i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n F_{\alpha_i} \in I$ which is a contradiction. Therefore $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Omega} F_\alpha \neq \emptyset$.

$3 \Rightarrow 1$: Let $U = \{U_\alpha / \alpha \in \Omega\}$ be a pairwise g^{**} -open cover of X . To prove U has a finite sub collection $\{U_{\alpha_i} / i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $X - \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i} \in I$. If not, then $\{X - U_\alpha / \alpha \in \Omega\}$ is a family of pairwise g^{**} -closed sets such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \{X - U_{\alpha_i}\} \notin I$ for any finite subset of Ω . By (3), $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Omega} \{X - U_\alpha\} \neq \emptyset$. $\therefore X \neq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Omega} U_\alpha$ which is a

$\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Omega} F_\alpha = \emptyset$, there exists a finite sub family $\{F_{\alpha_i} / i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n F_{\alpha_i} \in I.$$

contradiction. Therefore U has a finite sub cover modulo I . Hence (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pairwise g^{**} -compact modulo I .

Theorem 3.15: Let (X, τ_1, τ_2, I) be a bitopological ideal space. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. (X, τ_1, τ_2, I) is pairwise g^{**} -Lindeloff modulo I .
2. For every family $\{F_\alpha / \alpha \in \Omega\}$ of pairwise g^{**} -closed sets such that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Omega} F_\alpha = \emptyset$, there exists a countable sub family $\{F_{\alpha_i} / i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F_{\alpha_i} \in I$.
3. For every family $\{F_\alpha / \alpha \in \Omega\}$ of pairwise g^{**} -closed sets with I -CIP, $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Omega} F_\alpha \neq \emptyset$.

Proof: Similar to the proof of theorem (4.14).

Theorem 3.16: Let (X, τ_1, τ_2, I) be a bitopological ideal space. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. (X, τ_1, τ_2, I) is pairwise g^{**} -countably compact modulo I .
2. For every family $\{F_\alpha / \alpha \in \Omega\}$ of pairwise g^{**} -closed sets such that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Omega} F_\alpha = \emptyset$, there exists a countable

sub family $\{F_{\alpha_i} / i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ such
that $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F_{\alpha_i} \in I$.

3. For every family $\{F_{\alpha} / \alpha \in \Omega\}$ of
pairwise g^{**} -closed sets with I -CIP,
 $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Omega} F_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof: Similar to the proof of
theorem(4.14).

4. REFERENCES

- [1] J. C. Kelly. Bitopological spaces. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc., 13:71:89, 1963.
[2] Hamlett.T.R.,Jankovic.D.,compactness with respect to an ideal, Boll.U.M.I(7) 4-B(1990),849-861.
[3] N.Levine, Rend. Cire. Math. Palermo, 19 (1970), 89 – 96.
[4] K.Kuratowski, Topology, Vol.I, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
[5] Pauline Mary Helen. M, Veronica Vijayan, Ponnuthai Selvarani, g^{**} -closed sets in topological spaces, IJM A, 3(5), (2012),1-15.
[6] Pauline Mary Helen. M, Veronica Vijayan, Ponnuthai Selvarani, g^{**} -compact space and g^{**} -compact space modulo I , IJCA, 2(4),(2012),123 -133.
[7] Pauline Mary Helen. M, Veronica Vijayan, Ponnuthai Selvarani, Seperation axioms via g^{**} -closed sets in topological spaces and in ideal topological spaces, IJCA, 2(4),(2012),157 -171.
[8] Pauline Mary Helen. M, Veronica Vijayan, Ponnuthai Selvarani, strongly g^{**} -regular and strongly g^{**} -normal spaces, IJMA,2(6),2012, 13-29.
[9] Pauline Mary Helen. M, Veronica Vijayan, Ponnuthai Selvarani,Punitha Tharani, g^{**} -Lindeloff modulo an ideal,IJMA, g^{**} -Lindeloff modulo an ideal, (accepted)
[10] Reilly.I.L.,Pairwise Lindeloff bitopological spaces, Kyungpook Math.J,19(1973),1-4.
[11] M.K.R.S. Veera Kumar, Mem. Fac. Sci.Kochi Univ. (Math.), 21 (2000), 1 – 19.